



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,578	0	4/29/2002	L.Brian Starling	4141-2-PUS	9225	
22442	7590	10/18/2005		EXAMINER		
SHERIDA 1560 BROA		C	DAVIS, I	DAVIS, RUTH A		
SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
DENVER,	CO 80202		1651			
				DATE MAILED: 10/18/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
),578	STARLING ET A	L.				
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit					
		Ruth A	. Davis	1651					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress				
WHI - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ensions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come o period for reply is specified above, the maximum store to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply an v will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN be event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MO application to become A	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of the companion of					
Status									
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 25 July 2005							
2a)⊠		2b)☐ This action i							
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance exce	ept for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practi	ice under <i>Ex parte</i>	<i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the	application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-43</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	☐ · Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠									
7)	_								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	n requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing(s	s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is req	uired if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	o by the Examiner.	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	da a consenda la accada		•					
	1. Certified copies of the priority			Application No					
	2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies				I Stago				
	application from the Internation	•		IT TECEIVED III UIIS INAUOIIA	Glage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action			t received.					
Attachmen	t(s)			•					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>07252005</u> .		6) 🔲 Other:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	•				

Application/Control Number: 10/030,578

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment and response field July 25, 2005 has been received and entered into the case. Claims 1-43 are pending, claims 15-43 are withdrawn from consideration; claims 1-14 have been considered on the merits. All arguments have been full considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, have been withdrawn due to amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 1651

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1 – 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Radin in view of Lemons, Day et al. (US 6358531 B1) and/or Inoue et al. (US 4798585 A).

Applicant claims a composition comprising hollow sintered calcium containing microstructures and a bone mixture; wherein the microstructures are about 0.5-6 mm in diameter and the bone mixture comprises bone tissue or bone by products. The calcium containing microstructure comprises hydroxyapatite, tribasic calcium phosphate, dicalcium phosphate, tetracalcium phosphate, calcium carbonate, calcium oxide, glass containing calcium phosphate or a mixture thereof.

Radin teaches compositions comprising hollow calcium phosphate containing glass shells (abstract) that are combined with biologically active molecules such as BMP or collagen (bone mixture, tissues or by-products) (p.8). The particles vary in size from about 50 mcm – 5 mm (p.6).

Radin does not teach the compositions wherein the calcium phosphate is sintered. However, at the time of the claimed invention, sintered calcium phosphate was a known and used material in composition that contain calcium shells, implants, and structures. In support, Lemons teaches calcium particles wherein the particles are made from sintered tricalcium phosphate (tribasic calcium phosphate) and/or hydroxylapatite (abstract, col.8 line 65- col.9 line 5), Day teaches porous, hollow calcium shells that can be sintered (col.6 line 15-30), and Inoue teaches calcium implants wherein the calcium phosphate materials are sintered (abstract). As

Page 4

evidenced by the cited references, it was well known and practiced in the art to sinter calcium containing microstructures. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by routine practice and the cited references to sinter the hollow calcium structures of Radin with a reasonable expectation for successfully obtaining the hollow calcium shell of Radin.

5. Claims 1 – 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Radin in view of Lemons, and further in view of Day and/or Inoue.

Applicant claims a composition comprising hollow sinteredcalcium containing microstructures and a bone mixture; wherein the microstructures are about 0.5 - 6 mm in diameter; and the bone mixture comprises bone tissue or bone by products at about 5 - 95% or 50 - 75% of the composition. The calcium containing microstructure comprises hydroxyapatite, tribasic calcium phosphate, dicalcium phosphate, tetracalcium phosphate, calcium carbonate, calcium oxide, glass containing calcium phosphate or a mixture thereof.

Radin teaches compositions comprising hollow calcium phosphate containing glass shells (abstract) that are combined with biologically active molecules such as BMP or collagen (bone mixture, tissues or by-products) (p.8). The particles vary in size from about 50 mcm – 5 mm (p.6).

Radin does not teach the compositions wherein the calcium phosphate is sintered.

However, at the time of the claimed invention, sintered calcium phosphate was a known and used material in composition that contain calcium shells, implants, and structures. In support,

Page 5

Art Unit: 1651

Lemons teaches calcium particles wherein the particles are made from sintered tricalcium phosphate (tribasic calcium phosphate) and/or hydroxylapatite (abstract, col.8 line 65- col.9 line 5), Day teaches porous, hollow calcium shells that can be sintered (col.6 line 15-30), and Inoue teaches calcium implants wherein the calcium phosphate materials are sintered (abstract). As evidenced by the cited references, it was well known and practiced in the art to sinter calcium containing microstructures. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by routine practice and the cited references to sinter the hollow calcium structures of Radin with a reasonable expectation for successfully obtaining the hollow calcium shell of Radin.

Radin does not teach the compositions comprising the claimed amounts of bone mixture. However, at the time of the claimed invention, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the amounts of such active ingredients as a matter of routine experimentation. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by routine practice to optimize the amounts of biologically active molecules in the compositions of Radin with a reasonable expectation for successfully obtaining the calcium shell of Radin. Furthermore, although Radin does not teach the microstructures comprising each of the claimed calcium materials, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art o use any of the claimed materials since they were routinely used in such compositions. In support Lemons teaches compositions comprising calcium particles wherein the particles are made from sintered tricalcium phosphate (tribasic calcium phosphate) and/or hydroxylapatite (abstract, col.8 line 65- col.9 line 5). Thus, such materials were well known in the art to be equivalent substitutes used for the same purpose. Moreover, at the time of

Art Unit: 1651

the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by routine practice to substitute any of the claimed calcium containing materials in the composition of Radin with a reasonable expectation for successfully obtaining an effective calcium shell.

6. Claims 1 – 3 and 7 – 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Radin in view of Lemons, Day and/or Inoue, and further in view of Gerhart.

Applicant claims a composition comprising hollow calcium containing microstructures and a bone mixture; wherein the microstructures are about 0.5 – 6 mm in diameter; and the bone mixture comprises bone tissue or bone by products. The calcium containing microstructure comprises hydroxyapatite, tribasic calcium phosphate, dicalcium phosphate, tetracalcium phosphate, calcium carbonate, calcium oxide, glass containing calcium phosphate or a mixture thereof. The composition further comprises a bonding agent that is a polymer selected from polyactic acid, polyglycolic acid, polycaprolactone, poly alpha hydroxyl esters, polyphosphatzenes, polyanhydrides and/or polypropylene fumarate; or a bonding agent is a calcium containing cement. The bonding agent is present at about 5 – 75% or 10 – 50% of the composition. The calcium containing cement is calcium phosphate, calcium sulfate or a mixture thereof, specifically calcium sulfate.

Radin teaches compositions comprising hollow calcium phosphate containing glass shells (abstract) that are combined with biologically active molecules such as BMP or collagen (bone mixture, tissues or by-products) (p.8). The particles vary in size from about 50 mcm – 5 mm (p.6).

Application/Control Number: 10/030,578

Art Unit: 1651

Radin does not teach the compositions wherein the calcium phosphate is sintered. However, at the time of the claimed invention, sintered calcium phosphate was a known and used material in composition that contain calcium shells, implants, and structures. In support, Lemons teaches calcium particles wherein the particles are made from sintered tricalcium phosphate (tribasic calcium phosphate) and/or hydroxylapatite (abstract, col.8 line 65- col.9 line 5), Day teaches porous, hollow calcium shells that can be sintered (col.6 line 15-30), and Inoue teaches calcium implants wherein the calcium phosphate materials are sintered (abstract). As evidenced by the cited references, it was well known and practiced in the art to sinter calcium containing microstructures. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by routine practice and the cited references to sinter the hollow calcium structures of Radin with a reasonable expectation for successfully obtaining the hollow calcium shell of Radin.

Radin does not teach the composition further comprising a bonding agent that is one of the claimed polymers or calcium containing cements, or wherein the cement is present at the claimed amounts. However Radin specifically teaches that the hollow particle compositions are used for filling or repairing bone defects (p.4,9). Gerhart teaches that cements are well known and commonly used in compositions for repairing and fixing bone defects (col.1 line 10-36). Gerhart also teaches compositions for bone repair/fixation comprising calcium phosphate particles combined with a cement composition (or bonding agent) and calcium salts. Specifically, Gerhart teaches that the calcium particles are incorporated with polymers, allowing for superior fixation (col.2 line 22-35). The polymers used include polyesters, polyanhydrides, and/or polypropylene fumarate (col.4 line 35-52, col.5 line 1-8). Gerhart additionally teaches

that the cement compositions comprise calcium sulfate or calcium phosphate (col.6 line 40-52). At the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the teachings of Gerhart to include the claimed cements or polymers as a bonding agent in the composition of Radin, for its known use in bone repair compositions, and its advantage of superior fixation as disclosed by Gerhart. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by Gerhart to include any of the claimed cements or polymers in the compositions of Radin with a reasonable expectation for successfully obtaining the calcium shell composition of Radin.

While the references do not teach the claimed amounts of cement, at the time of the claimed invention, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the amounts of such active ingredients as a matter of routine experimentation.

Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by routine practice to optimize the amount of cement with a reasonable expectation for successfully obtaining the composition obtained by the combined teachings of Radin and Gerhart.

Double Patenting

7. Rejections under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting have been withdrawn due to amendment.

Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the references do not teach hollow, sintered calcium materials.

However, this argument fails to persuade in light of the rejections made above.

Specifically, the supporting references teach that sintered calcium materials were known and well used in the art for hollow calcium containing structures and compositions made therefrom.

Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by routine practice and the cited references to use sintered calcium in the hollow compositions of the prior art with a reasonable expectation for successfully obtaining the hollow microstructures disclosed therein.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1651

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth A. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H (7:00-4:30); altn. F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth A. Davis October 11, 2005 AU 1651

> LEON B. LANKFORD JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER